

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/680,573	10/07/2003	Philip D. Childers	ATS835.0002	5245
34487 7	590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER	
BRENT A. CAPEHART			CASAREGOLA, LOUIS J	
LaRiviere, Gru	bman & Payne, LLP			
19 Upper Ragsdale Drive, Suite 200 P.O Box 3140 Monterey, CA 93940			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/680,573	CHILDERS, PHILIP D.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Louis J. Casaregola	3746
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/18 This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,12</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are withdrawn for Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8,12</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Infom	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Election

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-8, and the species of Figure 2 is acknowledged. The election of Group I was made with traverse, but since non-elected claims 9-11 have been canceled, the traverse is moot. Applicant also suggests that amendments to claim 1 make species election unnecessary. These amendments however are not seen to invalidate the species election requirement, and that requirement remains in effect. Applicant has listed claims 1, 2, 6, 8 and 12 as readable on the elected species. An action on the merits of these claims is set forth below, and remaining non-elected claims 3-5 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi.

The claimed vaporization chamber assembly reads on conventional vaporization systems of the type disclosed by Yamaguchi. Attention is called to Yamaguchi's Figure 1; note aqueous mixture vaporization chamber 17, steam supply line 18 (chamber heat source), and nozzle array 10a (distribution grid). Note also that array 10a is arranged to supply a vaporized ammonia mixture to flue gas stream 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,573

Art Unit: 3746

With regard to claim 6, it is additionally emphasized that recitation of an ammonia concentration of 29% is merely and intended mode of operation and not a structural feature of the claimed apparatus. If the apparatus claimed by applicant is presumed capable of operating with this ammonia concentration, then the corresponding prior art apparatus can be presumed equally capable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi in view of either Wilson or Fowler et al.

Claim 2 describes the recited heat source as a "band heater". Heaters of this type are a well known means for heating fluid in chambers as shown, for example, by Wilson (see element 2) and Fowler (see element 20). Yamaguchi currently employs a steam heater for vaporization chamber 17, but Yamaguchi's apparatus also happens to be used in combination with a steam boiler that provides a convenient source of steam heat. Yamaguchi's basic flue gas treatment system, however, has general utility, and when used to treat flue gas in apparatus without a boiler, there would be no convenient source of steam. In such instances, it would have been obvious to use another well known vessel heating device, such as the Wilson or Fowler band heater, to heat vaporization chamber 17.

Art Unit: 3746

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 12 contain allowable subject matter but are objected to as depending from a rejected parent claim. If rewritten in independent form, these claims will be allowed.

Final Rejection

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, *THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.* See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,573

Art Unit: 3746

L. J. Casaregola

571-272-4826 (M-F; 7:30-4:00)

Page 5

571-273-8300 FAX

June 26, 2001

If repeated attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor, Timothy Thorpe, can be reached at 571-272-4444.

Information regarding the status of this application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, and status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).